

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/602,848	06/24/2003	Yoshinori Tanaka	1324.68109	9315	
Dominic C. Dom	7590 04/13/2007			EXAMINER	
Patrick G. Burns Greer, Burns & Crain, Ltd. Suite 2500 300 South Wacker Drive Chicago, IL 60606			CHEN, WEN YING PATTY		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2871		
SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD OF RESPONSE		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
3 MONTHS		04/13/2007	PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary	10/602,848	TANAKA ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	W. Patty Chen	2871				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDO	ON. e timely filed om the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>26 December 2006</u> .						
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ This	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowan	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>14,15 and 17-19</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>17</u> is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>14,15,18 and 19</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	•					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner	r.					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. <u>09/607,104</u> .						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summa Paper No(s)/Mail					
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 10/10/06. 	5) Notice of Informa 6) Other:					

Art Unit: 2871

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

Applicant's Amendment filed Dec. 26, 2006 has been received and entered. Claims 13 and 20-21 are cancelled per the Amendment filed. Therefore, claims 14, 15 and 17-19 are now pending in the current application.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out

Art Unit: 2871

the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 14 and 18-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Koike et al. (US 5781253) in view of Fujita et al. (US 6184966).

With respect to claim 14 (Amended): Koike et al. disclose in Figures 1-3 an active matrix type liquid crystal display comprising:

a switching element (element 26) formed for each of a plurality of pixels defined by a plurality of bus lines (elements 10 and 46); and

an electrostatic protection element portion (element 44) formed between the adjacent bus lines;

wherein the electrostatic protection element portion comprises a plurality of metal layers (elements 52 and 54) directly formed on the same layer (as shown in Figure 3), an insulating layer (element 56) formed on the plurality of metal layers, a contact hole (element 58) formed by opening the insulating layer on the plurality of metal layers, and a connecting layer (element 48) electrically connecting the metal layers via the contact hole.

Koike et al. failed to specifically disclose that the connecting layer is formed by a material for a pixel electrode formed in each of the plurality of pixels.

However, Koike et al. further teach in Column 6 lines 39-44 that the connecting layer can be formed simultaneously with any of the metal layers and/or with various types of metal and Fujita et al. disclose in Figure 3 and Column 6 lines 42-56 a connecting layer (element 13) formed of a same material as each pixel electrode in the plurality of pixels.

Art Unit: 2871

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to construct an active matrix type liquid crystal display as taught by Koike et al. wherein the connecting layer is formed by a material for forming a pixel electrode as taught by Fujita et al., since Koike et al. teach that is it convenient to form the connecting layer while forming other metal layers, such that no extra deposition/patterning step is necessary (Column 6, lines 39-44).

As to claim 18: Koike et al. further disclose in Figure 3 that the insulating layer (element 56) is a single layer.

As to claim 19: Koike et al. further disclose in Figure 3 that the connecting layer is a single layer (element 48).

Claim 15 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Song et al. (US 6043971) in view of Matsumoto (US 6211534).

Song et al. disclose in Figure 5 an active matrix type liquid crystal display comprising: a switching element (not shown; Column 4, lines 20-23) formed for each of a plurality of pixels defined by a plurality of data bus lines (element 2) and gate bus lines (element 1);

a first common wiring (element 12b) connected to the data bus lines;

a second common wiring (element 12a) connected to the gate bus lines; and

an electrostatic protection element portion (element 13) formed between the first common wiring and the second common wiring.

Song et al. fail to specifically disclose the structure of the electrostatic protection element portion.

However, Matsumoto discloses in Figures 2-4 an electrostatic element portion (element 11) comprises a plurality of metal layers (elements 4 and 7) directly formed on the same layer as the first common wiring or the second common wiring (wherein the metal layers are formed on the same layer as the second common wirings which connect to the gate bus lines), an insulating layer (element 29) formed on the plurality of metal layers, a contact hole formed by opening the insulating layer on the plurality of metal layers (as shown in Figure 3), and a connecting layer (element 27a) electrically connecting the metal layers via the contact hole.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to construct an active matrix type liquid crystal display as taught by Song et al. wherein the electrostatic protection element portion has the structure as taught by Matsumoto, since Matsumoto teaches that by forming the electrostatic protecting element portion with such structure helps to suppress leak current by supplying large resistance, thus helps to prevent damages to the array substrate due to abnormal discharge of current (Column 10, lines 9-13 and Column 3, lines 48-67).

Allowable Subject Matter

Claim 17 is allowed.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

None of the prior arts either alone or in combination fairly teach or suggest that the contact resistance through the contact hole on a multi-layer structured metal layer is equal to 35 to 36 k Ω .

Art Unit: 2871

Therefore, claim 17 is deemed non-obvious and inventive over the prior arts, thus is allowable.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments, filed Dec. 26, 2006, with respect to the rejection(s) of all claim(s) under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Koike et al. have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made in view of Koike et al. and Fujita et al. as set forth above.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to W. Patty Chen whose telephone number is (571)272-8444. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00-5:00 M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David C. Nelms can be reached on (571)272-1787. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2871

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would

like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated

information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

W. Patty Chen Examiner Art Unit 2871

WPC 4/05/07

Anhluth

Page 7